

## “Next Steps” workshop notes

Recommendation number	Priority (1-3)	When? Now (within 1 year)	Soon (2-3 years)	Later (3+ years)
1. Review partnership and engagement structure.	1	✓		
<b>Actions (what needs to happen?)</b>  1. Review governance structure to gain clarity and distinction between the function of SMP and EMP.  2. Landlord/tenants to reinstate meeting – land managers.  3. Review accountability and public involvement in SMP and governance of public engagement in SMP.	<b>Lead?</b>  SMP working group to review for SMP/EMP/SCC/PDNPA for tenant/landlord meetings.  EMP/SCC/PDNPA  SMP via. working group	<b>Cost? (time/people)</b>  3x ½ days – for meetings/working groups.  4-5x ½ day meetings/working groups.		
<i>Need to create an easy way for members of the public to raise issues and contribute to discussions.</i>  <i>The ‘partners’ represent the land managers. As most, if not all, involved the public in gaining this land for public use - shouldn’t ‘the public’ or recognised organisations be involved?</i>  <i>Different partners have different perspectives, all of which are likely to be relevant. Strength in diversity.</i>	<b>Barriers/Notes</b>  Capacity – Consultant?  Complexity – keep it simple!  Financial commitments need clarity.  Sustainability of organisations and future funding.			

**“Next Steps” workshop notes**

Recommendation number	Priority (1-3)	When? Now (within 1 year)	Soon (2-3 years)	Later (3+ years)
2. Dedicated partnership management/admin resource	1	✓		
<b>Actions (what needs to happen?)</b>  1. Clarify the need for both: partnership management and admin.  2. Clarify the principle of contribution by SMP members. (clarify proportionality by organisation and by land holding?)  3. Learn from others – i.e. AONB areas and other landscape scale partnerships.	<b>Lead?</b>  TT and DU (and SMP to ultimately endorse.)	<b>Cost? (time/people)</b>  ½ day to consult with SMP.  1 day to draft the proposal.  Approx. £5-£6k PA.		
	<b>Barriers/Notes</b>  Lack of funding.  Lack of importance in doing this.  Lack of understanding this takes time and money.			

## “Next Steps” workshop notes

Recommendation number	Priority (1-3)	When? Now (within 1 year)	Soon (2-3 years)	Later (3+ years)
3. Develop a major funding bid. 4. Refine the masterplan.	A – 1 B – 2 C – 1 D – 1			
<b>Actions (what needs to happen?)</b>  A. Review and refine the actions in the masterplan, which will feed into the funding bid. Identify gaps and priorities.  B. Links to The Outdoor City – to broaden public understanding of the partnership.  C. Consider funding work without grants – i.e. ecotourism and crowd-funding.  D. Consider using grant funding for business development – sustainable management.	<b>Lead?</b>  TBC – <i>NPA? But partnership fuelling would be required &amp; need to check Heritage Lottery funding and whether one organisation can lead 2 at the same time?</i>  SCC  SMP and SCC  SMP	<b>Cost? (time/people)</b>  Need £5-10k consultancy fees. <i>To facilitate the partnership and develop funding bids, 2-2.5 days per week needed for other models. Each partner organisation would need to commit £5-6k per year.</i>  Time for building relationships.		
<b>Barriers/Notes</b>  Capacity of partners (notably the SLLP Partnership)  Uncertainty of future funding and capacity/Brexit.  <i>Want to avoid grant dependency - but the £30m a year to the PDNPA will still be needed (from BPS, AE and economic diversification grants for farmers and land managers.)</i>				

## “Next Steps” workshop notes

Recommendation number	Priority (1-3)	When? Now (within 1 year)	Soon (2-3 years)	Later (3+ years)
5. Promote good practice.	A B C D	All “ongoing”		
<p><b>Actions (what needs to happen?)</b></p> <p>A. Build on existing good practice – e.g. BMC, Ride Sheffield, Fell Runners Association and Ramblers, EMP. Build on best practice regarding land management – e.g. blanket bog, wet heath, etc. PDNPA’s revised approach to event management – 5 key actions identified.</p> <p>B. PDCRF(?), PDNPA and SLAF – new Countryside Code.</p> <p>C. Promote the Sheffield Moors User Guide. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Via. The Outdoor City (website)</li> <li>- Cliffhanger and other events.</li> <li>- Schools (via. Ranger talks etc.)</li> <li>- Scout groups</li> <li>- Special events – e.g. at the MDC.</li> </ul> </p> <p>D. Newspaper articles e.g. Dave Bocking’s work for outdoor magazines.</p> <p>E. Ensure public goods delivery has a balanced and integrated approach.</p> <p><i>‘Promoting good practice,’ ‘tackling the difficult issues.’ As “climate change” is the current big issue, shouldn’t all partners promote “climate change” as part of their work (work they do already.)</i></p>	<p><b>Lead?</b></p> <p>Joint – voluntary sector and SMP.</p>	<p><b>Cost? (time/people)</b></p> <p>Ranger (staff) time – in car parks and further afield in “visitor hotspots” e.g. Padley Gorge with BBQ use.</p>	<p><b>Barriers/Notes</b></p> <p>Lack of staff time.</p>	

## “Next Steps” workshop notes

Recommendation number	Priority (1-3)	When? Now (within 1 year)	Soon (2-3 years)	Later (3+ years)
6. Widening the partnership.	1	1+ onwards		
<b>Actions (what needs to happen?)</b>  1. Broaden partnership BUT also specific management sub-groups – e.g. land owners and managers.  2. Make the partnership beneficial/accessible and not onerous to new members – e.g. private land owners, volunteer group representatives, archaeologists and NFU.  3. Identify sub-groups (after agreeing outcomes), but not too many!  4. <i>There are specific issues for landscape climbers and archaeologists at Gardom’s that should be discussed with EMP and others.</i>	<b>Lead?</b>  SMP  SMP  SMP	<b>Cost? (time/people)</b>  People and time....?		
		<b>Barriers/Notes</b>  Politics – both local and national.  Cost (in time) to new members.  Excessive number of partners can make meetings unmanageable but also need to ensure adequate representation of stakeholders.		

## “Next Steps” workshop notes

Recommendation number	Priority (1-3)	When? Now (within 1 year)	Soon (2-3 years)	Later (3+ years)
<p>7. Tackling the ‘difficult issues’</p> <p><i>Links into Sheffield Moors User Guide – communicating shared messages clearly to the public.</i></p>	1	Now+ onwards		
<p><b>Actions (what needs to happen?)</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Agree themed outcomes – cattle access; dogs; vehicles; fire; predator control/deer management.</li> <li>2. Identify current gaps and code(s) of practice to inform (e.g. Kirklees and BBQS.)</li> <li>3. Identify all outreach delivery organisations.</li> <li>4. Clarification on legal stance of partners.</li> <li>5. Unify an approach to predation in the landscape reflection changing public opinion and changes to legal framework (general licence.) Allowing natural process to continue, while moving to a landscape that favours species like curlew with less intervention.</li> </ol>	<p><b>Lead?</b></p> <p>SMP</p> <p>National Park Management Plan</p> <p>Countryside Code and suite of Signs review July workshop</p>	<p><b>Cost? (time/people)</b></p> <p>People: -</p> <p>Rangers</p> <p>Officers</p> <p>Managers</p> <p>Volunteers</p>		
<p><b>Barriers/Notes</b></p> <p>This task is huge and daunting until details are worked through and resourced.</p>				

**“Next Steps” workshop notes**

Recommendation number	Priority (1-3)	When? Now (within 1 year)	Soon (2-3 years)	Later (3+ years)
8. Keep enhancing habitats and access opportunities.	A – 2 B – 1 C – 2 D – 2 E – 1	B    E	A  C D E	E
<b>Actions (what needs to happen?)</b>  A. Need a public debate on SMP approach to Wilding.  B. Need to balance access and nature conservation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Correct definitive map.</li> <li>- Undertake recreational audit for all user groups.</li> <li>- Explore opportunities for bigger, better, more and joined. Determine what ‘good’ looks like and what does ‘even better’ look like.</li> <li>- Access network improvement opportunities via. ELMS – including surface and resting points/observation.</li> <li>- Sandford Principle.</li> </ul> Nb. Public access shouldn’t be compromised.  C. Talk to Chatsworth Estate (and other neighbours) re: Gardom’s Edge.  D. Review use of herbicides and agree clear position for SMP – look at UKWAS guidelines (see SCC) and insecticides in livestock.  E. Celebrate achievements on habitat, access and SMP “brand.” Continue to identify opportunities for further habitat improvement ‘flagship’ – increase wet woodland along cloughs creating links.	<b>Lead?</b>  Landowner partners (including public)  Recreational community          Eastern Moors (and BMC)	<b>Cost? (time/people)</b>          Links to The Outdoor City in Sheffield.		
	<b>Barriers/Notes</b>  Current stewardship agreements.  Future stewardship schemes.  Potential to also be positive.			